

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	F	IRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,738	02/25/2002		Georges Prigent	FR 010024	8303
	590 05/25/2004			EXAMI	NER
Philips Corporation Electronics North America Corporation				KIM, ELLEN E	
580 White Plain	ns Road		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tarrytown, NY	7 10591			2874	
			·	DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004	*

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summany	10/084,738	PRIGENT, GEORGES
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ellen Kim	2874
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE.	s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
_	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
	•	,
4) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	vn from consideration.	•
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	÷	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement	
,	oloollon requirement.	•
Application Papers	*	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		(d) or (f).
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents		
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	n No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been received	d in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not received	
1		· · · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
attachment(s)	,	
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (F	PTO-413)
) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	e
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/23/02</u> .	5) Notice of Informal Par 6) Other:	tent Application (PTO-152)
Palant and Trademark Office	, 	

Application/Control Number: 10/084,738

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1- 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant claims "... the fibers of the plate are deformed..." in claims 1 and 8, and "...the front face of the plate is bent." In claim 2. It is not clearly described how the fibers are deformed to be adapted to various configurations, and how the front face of the plate is bent. In re claim 3, it is not clear how the diameters of the optical fiber are changing.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "...fibers of the plate are deformed...", " the fibers having a changing diameter", and "...the front face of the plate is bent." must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,738

Art Unit: 2874

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masaaki [Applicant's submitted prior art, EP 2000250432].

Masaaki discloses a display plate comprising a plate assembly of optical fibers 14 and the front face of the plate is bent.

Massaki discloses every aspect of claimed invention except for the housing. It would have been obvious to the ordinary skilled person in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device to include the housing for the purpose of protecting the display plate from outside environment.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kyohei [Applicant's submitted prior art, EP 62091916].

Kyohei discloses a display plate comprising a plate assembly of optical fibers 1-3.

Kyohei discloses every aspect of claimed invention except for the housing. It would have been obvious to the ordinary skilled person in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device to include the housing for the purpose of protecting the display plate from outside environment.

In re claim 4, the light box is shown in fig. 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,738

Art Unit: 2874

Claims 1, 3, 7, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagatani [USPAT 6,219,184].

Nagatani discloses an image display apparatus comprising a plate of optical fibers 160 [fig. 11], wherein the diameter of the fibers which are in contact with the display unit is smaller than the dimensions of the pixels which the display unit can display.

Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Birecki et al [USPAT 5,329,386].

Birecki et al discloses every aspect of claimed invention except for the housing. It would have been obvious to the ordinary skilled person in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device to include the housing for the purpose of protecting the display plate from outside environment.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Further references of interest are cited on Form PLO-892, which is attachment to this office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2349. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Friday.

Ellen E. Kim

Primary Examiner

May 19, 2004/EK